UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,206	03/23/2004 Takeshi Takahashi		119201	1908
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 06/29/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	HODGE, ROBERT W		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/806,206	TAKAHASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROBERT HODGE	1795		

R	DBERT HODGE	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repl application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavi with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advission on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailino	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on we have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on and the corresponding amount ened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consid (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	eration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corr NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed 	<u></u> .		
non-allowable claim(s).	able ii submitted in a separate, i	intery filed afficitation	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2 and 17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary an	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowand	e because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PT-13. ☐ Other:	೨/১ʁ/∪४)		
	/Robert Hodge/		
	Examiner, Art Unit 1795		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First and foremost applicants argue the references separately and not the specific combination and teachings as applied in the grounds of rejection. Furthermore with regards to the existence ratio, a Prima Facie case of obviousness has been made which has shifted the burden to applicants to prove in the form of evidence that the instant invention has unexpected results when compared to the closest prior art, which is the combination of Watanabe and Inoue, said burden has not been met.